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#### Abstract

In the open problem session of the FPSAC'03, R.P. Stanley gave an open problem about a certain sum of the Schur functions (See [20]). The purpose of this paper is to give a proof of this open problem. The proof consists of three steps. At the first step we express the sum by a Pfaffian as an application of our minor summation formula (8]). In the second step we prove a Pfaffian analogue of Cauchy type identity which generalize [23]. Then we give a proof of Stanley's open problem in Section 4. At the end of this paper we present certain corollaries obtained from this identity involving the Big Schur functions and some polynomials arising from the Macdonald polynomials, which generalize Stanley's open problem.
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## 1 Introduction

In the open problem session of the 15th Anniversary International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (Vadstena, Sweden, 25 June 2003), R.P. Stanley gave an open problem on a sum of Schur functions with a weight including four parameters, i.e. Theorem 1.1 (See [20]). The purpose of this paper is to give a proof of this open problem. In the process of our proof, we obtain a Pfaffian identity, i.e. Theorem 3.1 which generalize the Pfaffian identities in [23. Note that certain determinant and Pfaffian identities of this type first appeared in [16, and applied to solve some alternating sign matrices enumerations under certain symmetries stated in [13. Certain conjectures which intensively generalize the determinant and Pfaffian identities of this type were stated in [18, and a proof of the conjectured determinant and Pfaffian identities was given in [7]. Now we know that various methods may be adopted to prove this type of identity. We can prove it algebraically using Dodgson's formula or the usual expansion formula of Pfaffians. Here we state an analytic proof since this proof is due to the author and is not stated in other places. Our proof proceeds by three steps. In the first step we utilize the minor summation formula ([8) to express the sum of Schur functions as a Pfaffian. In the second step we express the Pfaffian
by a determinant using a Cauchy type Pfaffian formula (also see [17, 18 and (7), and try to simplify it as much as possible. In the process of this step, it is conceivable that the determinants we treat may be closely related to characters of representations of $\mathrm{SP}_{2 n}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{m}$ (See [4], [6] and (9). In the final step we complete our proof using a key proposition, i.e. Proposition 4.1 (See 19 and [22]). At the end of this paper we state some corollaries which generalize Stanley's open problem to the big Schur functions, and to certain polynomials arising from the Macdonald polynomials. Furthermore, in the forthcoming paper [10, we study a finite version of Boulet's theorem and present certain relations with orthogonal polynomials and the basic hypergeometric series. In the paper we find more applications of the Pfaffain expression of Stanley's weight $\omega(\lambda)$ obtained in this paper, and also study a certain summation of Schur's $Q$-functions weighted by $\omega(\lambda)$.

We follow the notation in 15 concerning symmetric functions. In this paper we use a symmetric function $f$ in $n$ variables $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, which is usually written as $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, and also a symmetric function $f$ in countably many variables $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$, which is written as $f(x)$ (for detailed description of the ring of symmetric functions in countably many variables, see [15, I, sec.2). To simplify this notation we express the $n$-tuple $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ by $X_{n}$, and sometimes simply write $f\left(X_{n}\right)$ for $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. When the number of variables is finite and there is no fear of confusion what this number is, we simply write $X$ for $X_{n}$ in abbreviation. Thus $f(x)$ is in countably many variables, but $f(X)$ is in finitely many variables and the number of variables is clear from the assumption.

Given a partition $\lambda$, define $\omega(\lambda)$ by

$$
\omega(\lambda)=a^{\sum_{i \geq 1}\left\lceil\lambda_{2 i-1} / 2\right\rceil} b^{\sum_{i \geq 1}\left\lfloor\lambda_{2 i-1} / 2\right\rfloor} c^{\sum_{i \geq 1}\left\lceil\lambda_{2 i} / 2\right\rceil} d^{\sum_{i \geq 1}\left\lfloor\lambda_{2 i} / 2\right\rfloor}
$$

where $a, b, c$ and $d$ are indeterminates, and $\lceil x\rceil$ (resp. $\lfloor x\rfloor$ ) stands for the smallest (resp. largest) integer greater (resp. less) than or equal to $x$ for a given real number $x$. For example, if $\lambda=(5,4,4,1)$ then $\omega(\lambda)$ is the product of the entries in the following diagram for $\lambda$.


Let $s_{\lambda}(x)$ denote the Schur function corresponding to a partition $\lambda$. R. P. Stanley gave the following conjecture in the open problem session of FPSAC'03.
Theorem 1.1. Let

$$
z=\sum_{\lambda} \omega(\lambda) s_{\lambda} .
$$

Here the sum runs over all partitions $\lambda$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\log z-\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{2 n} a^{n}\left(b^{n}-c^{n}\right) p_{2 n}- & \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{4 n} a^{n} b^{n} c^{n} d^{n} p_{2 n}^{2} \\
& \in \mathbb{Q}\left[\left[p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{5}, \ldots\right]\right] . \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $p_{r}=\sum_{i \geq 1} x_{i}^{r}$ denote the $r$ th power sum symmetric function.

As a special case of this open problem, if we put $b=c=a^{-1}$ and $d=a$, and check the constant term of the both sides, then we obtain the following simple case:
Corollary 1.2. Let

$$
y=\sum_{\substack{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \text { even }}} s_{\lambda}(x)
$$

Here the sum runs over all partitions $\lambda$ such that $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ are even partitions (i.e. with all parts even). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log y-\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{4 n} p_{2 n}^{2} \in \mathbb{Q}\left[\left[p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{5}, \ldots\right]\right] . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the rest of this section we briefly recall the definition of Pfaffians. For a detailed explanation of Pfaffians, the reader can consult [11 and [21. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer and assume we are given a $2 n$ by $2 n$ skew-symmetric matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 n}$, (i.e. $a_{j i}=-a_{i j}$ ), whose entries $a_{i j}$ are in a commutative ring. The Pfaffian of $A$ is, by definition,

$$
\operatorname{Pf}(A)=\sum \epsilon\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{2 n-1}, \sigma_{2 n}\right) a_{\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}} \ldots a_{\sigma_{2 n-1} \sigma_{2 n}}
$$

where the summation is over all partitions $\left\{\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right\}_{<}, \ldots,\left\{\sigma_{2 n-1}, \sigma_{2 n}\right\}<\right\}$ of $[2 n]$ into 2-elements blocks, and where $\epsilon\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{2 n-1}, \sigma_{2 n}\right)$ denotes the sign of the permutation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & \cdots & 2 n \\
\sigma_{1} & \sigma_{2} & \cdots & \sigma_{2 n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We call a partition $\left\{\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right\}_{<}, \ldots,\left\{\sigma_{2 n-1}, \sigma_{2 n}\right\}<\right\}$ of $[2 n]$ into 2 -elements blocks a matching or 1 -factor of $[2 n]$.

## 2 Minor Summation Formula

First we restrict our attention to the finite variables case. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=y_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right)=\sum_{\substack{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \text { even }}} s_{\lambda}\left(X_{2 n}\right)=\sum_{\substack{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime} \text { even }}} s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{\lambda}\left(X_{2 n}\right)$ is the Schur function corresponding to a partition $\lambda$ in $2 n$ variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}$. Then there is a known formula which is originally due to Littlewood as follows. (See [23]).

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right)=\frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)} \operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{x_{i}-x_{j}}{1-x_{i}^{2} x_{j}^{2}}\right]_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem which generalize this identity.
Theorem 2.1. Let $n$ be a positive integer and let $\omega(\lambda)$ be as defined in Section Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}=z_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right)=\sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leq 2 n} \omega(\lambda) s_{\lambda}\left(X_{2 n}\right)=\sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leq 2 n} \omega(\lambda) s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the sum restricted to $2 n$ variables. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right)=\frac{(a b c d)^{-\binom{n}{2}}}{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)} \operatorname{Pf}\left(p_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{i j}$ is defined by

$$
p_{i j}=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x_{i}+a x_{i}^{2} & 1-a(b+c) x_{i}-a b c x_{i}^{3}  \tag{2.5}\\
x_{j}+a x_{j}^{2} & 1-a(b+c) x_{j}-a b c x_{j}^{3}
\end{array}\right|}{\left(1-a b x_{i}^{2}\right)\left(1-a b x_{j}^{2}\right)\left(1-a b c d x_{i}^{2} x_{j}^{2}\right)} .
$$

Let $m, n$ and $r$ be integers such that $r \leq m, n$ and let $T$ be an $m$ by $n$ matrix. For any index sets $I=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right\}<\subseteq[m]$ and $J=$ $\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r}\right\}_{<} \subseteq[n]$, let $\Delta_{J}^{I}(A)$ denote the submatrix obtained by selecting the rows indexed by $I$ and the columns indexed by $J$. If $r=m$ and $I=[m]$, we simply write $\Delta_{J}(A)$ for $\Delta_{J}^{[m]}(A)$. Similarly, if $r=n$ and $J=[n]$, we write $\Delta^{I}(A)$ for $\Delta_{[n]}^{I}(A)$. For any finite set $S$ and a nonnegative integer $r$, let $\binom{S}{r}$ denote the set of all $r$-element subsets of $S$. We cite a theorem from [8] which we call a minor summation formula:
Theorem 2.2. Let $n$ and $N$ be non-negative integers such that $2 n \leq N$. Let $T=\left(t_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq 2 n, 1 \leq j \leq N}$ be a $2 n$ by $N$ rectangular matrix, and let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$ be a skew-symmetric matrix of size $N$. Then

$$
\sum_{\substack{I \in\left(\begin{array}{c}
[N] \\
2 n\\
)
\end{array}\right.}} \operatorname{Pf}\left(\Delta_{I}^{I}(A)\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{I}(T)\right)=\operatorname{Pf}\left(T A^{t} T\right)
$$

If we put $Q=\left(Q_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 n}=T A^{t} T$, then its entries are given by

$$
Q_{i j}=\sum_{1 \leq k<l \leq N} a_{k l} \operatorname{det}\left(\Delta_{k l}^{i j}(T)\right), \quad(1 \leq i, j \leq 2 n)
$$

Here we write $\Delta_{k l}^{i j}(T)$ for $\Delta_{\{k l\}}^{\{i j\}}(T)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}t_{i k} & t_{i l} \\ t_{j k} & t_{j l}\end{array}\right|$.
Before we proceed to the proof of Theorem 2.1 we cite a lemma from [8. The proof is not difficult, but we omit the proof and the reader can consult [8], Section 4, Lemma 7.
Lemma 2.3. Let $x_{i}$ and $y_{j}$ be indeterminates, and let $n$ be a non-negative integer. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pf}\left[x_{i} y_{j}\right]_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{2 i-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{2 i} . \square \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}\right)$ satisfying $\ell(\lambda) \leq m$, we associate a decreasing sequence $\lambda+\delta_{m}$ which is usually denoted by $l=\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m}\right)$, where $\delta_{m}=(m-1, m-2, \ldots, 0)$.
Lemma 2.4. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer. Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{2 n}\right)$ be a partition such that $\ell(\lambda) \leq 2 n$, and put $l=\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{2 n}\right)=\lambda+\delta_{2 n}$. Define a $2 n$ by $2 n$ skew-symmetric matrix $A=\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 n}$ by

$$
\alpha_{i j}=a^{\left\lceil\left(l_{i}-1\right) / 2\right\rceil} b^{\left\lfloor\left(l_{i}-1\right) / 2\right\rfloor} c^{\left\lceil l_{j} / 2\right\rceil} d^{\left\lfloor l_{j} / 2\right\rfloor}
$$

for $i<j$, and as $\alpha_{j i}=-\alpha_{i j}$ holds for any $1 \leq i, j \leq 2 n$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Pf}[A]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 n}=(a b c d)^{\binom{n}{2}} \omega(\lambda)
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$
\operatorname{Pf}[A]=\prod_{i=1}^{n} a^{\left\lceil\left(l_{2 i-1}-1\right) / 2\right\rceil} b^{\left\lfloor\left(l_{2 i-1}-1\right) / 2\right\rfloor} \prod_{j=1}^{n} c^{\left\lceil l_{2 j} / 2\right\rceil} d^{\left\lfloor l_{2 j} / 2\right\rfloor} .
$$

Since $l_{2 i-1}-1=\lambda_{2 i-1}+2(n-i)$ and $l_{2 j}=\lambda_{2 j}+2(n-j)$, this Pfaffian becomes

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n} a^{\left\lceil\lambda_{2 i-1} / 2\right\rceil+n-i} b^{\left\lfloor\lambda_{2 i-1} / 2\right\rfloor+n-i} \prod_{j=1}^{n} c^{\left\lceil\lambda_{2 j} / 2\right\rceil+n-j} d^{\left\lfloor\lambda_{2 j} / 2\right\rfloor+n-j},
$$

which is easily seen to be $(a b c d)\binom{n}{2} \omega(\lambda)$.
Now we are in the position to give a proof of Theorem 2.1
Proof of Theorem 2.1] By Theorem [2.2] it is enough to compute

$$
\beta_{i j}=\sum_{k \geq l \geq 0} a^{\lceil(k-1) / 2\rceil} b^{\lfloor(k-1) / 2\rfloor} c^{\lceil l / 2\rceil} d^{\lfloor l / 2\rfloor}\left|\begin{array}{cc}
x_{i}^{k} & x_{i}^{l} \\
x_{j}^{k} & x_{j}^{l}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Let $f_{k l}^{i j}=a^{\lceil(k-1) / 2\rceil} b^{\lfloor(k-1) / 2\rfloor} c^{\lceil l / 2\rceil} d^{\lfloor l / 2\rfloor}\left|\begin{array}{ll}x_{i}^{k} & x_{i}^{l} \\ x_{j}^{k} & x_{j}^{l}\end{array}\right|$, then, this sum can be divided into four cases, i.e.
$\beta_{i j}=\sum_{\substack{k=2 r+1, l=2 s \\ r \geq s \geq 0}} f_{k l}^{i j}+\sum_{\substack{k=2 r, l=2 s \\ r \geq s \geq 0}} f_{k l}^{i j}+\sum_{\substack{k=2 r+1, l=2 s+1 \\ r \geq s \geq 0}} f_{k l}^{i j}+\sum_{\substack{k=2 r+2, l=2 s+1 \\ r \geq s \geq 0}} f_{k l}^{i j}$.
We compute each case:
(i) If $k=2 r+1$ and $l=2 s$ for $r \geq s \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{k=2 r+1, l=2 s \\
r \geq s \geq 0}} f_{k l}^{i j} & =\sum_{r \geq s \geq 0} a^{r} b^{r} c^{s} d^{s}\left|\begin{array}{cc}
x_{i}^{2 r+1} & x_{i}^{2 s} \\
x_{j}^{2 r+1} & x_{j}^{2 s}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\sum_{r \geq s \geq 0} c^{s} d^{s}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{a^{s} b^{s} x_{i}^{2 s+1}}{1-a b x_{i}^{2}} & x_{i}^{2 s} \\
\frac{a^{s} b^{s} x_{j}^{2 s+1}}{1-a b x_{j}^{2}} & x_{j}^{2 s}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\frac{\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left(1+a b x_{i} x_{j}\right)}{\left(1-a b x_{i}^{2}\right)\left(1-a b x_{j}^{2}\right)\left(1-a b c d x_{i}^{2} x_{j}^{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way we obtain the followings by straight forward computations.
(ii) If $k=2 r$ and $l=2 s$ for $r \geq s \geq 0$, then

$$
\sum_{\substack{k=2 r, l=2 s \\ r \geq s \geq 0}} f_{k l}^{i j}=\frac{a\left(x_{i}^{2}-x_{j}^{2}\right)}{\left(1-a b x_{i}^{2}\right)\left(1-a b x_{j}^{2}\right)\left(1-a b c d x_{i}^{2} x_{j}^{2}\right)}
$$

(iii) If $k=2 r+1$ and $l=2 s+1$ for $r \geq s \geq 0$, then

$$
\sum_{\substack{k=2 r+1, l=2 s+1 \\ r \geq s \geq 0}} f_{k l}^{i j}=\frac{a b c x_{i} x_{j}\left(x_{i}^{2}-x_{j}^{2}\right)}{\left(1-a b x_{i}^{2}\right)\left(1-a b x_{j}^{2}\right)\left(1-a b c d x_{i}^{2} x_{j}^{2}\right)} .
$$

(iv) If $k=2 r+2$ and $l=2 s+1$ for $r \geq s \geq 0$, then

$$
\sum_{\substack{k=2 r+2, l=2 s+1 \\ r \geq s \geq 0}} f_{k l}^{i j}=\frac{a c x_{i} x_{j}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left(1+a b x_{i} x_{j}\right)}{\left(1-a b x_{i}^{2}\right)\left(1-a b x_{j}^{2}\right)\left(1-a b c d x_{i}^{2} x_{j}^{2}\right)}
$$

Summing up these four identities, we obtain
$\beta_{i j}=\frac{\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left\{1+a b x_{i} x_{j}+a\left(x_{i}+x_{j}\right)+a b c x_{i} x_{j}\left(x_{i}+x_{j}\right)+a c x_{i} x_{j}\left(1+a b x_{i} x_{j}\right)\right\}}{\left(1-a b x_{i}^{2}\right)\left(1-a b x_{j}^{2}\right)\left(1-a b c d x_{i}^{2} x_{j}^{2}\right)}$.
It is easy to see the numerator is written by the determinant, and this completes the proof.

## 3 Cauchy Type Pfaffian Formulas

The aim of this section is to derive (3.4). In the next section we will use this identity to prove Stanley's open problem. First we prove a fundamental Pfaffian identity, i.e. Theorem 3.1 and deduce all the identities in this section from this theorem. In the latter half of this section we also show that we can derive Sundquist's Pfaffian identities obtained in [23] from our theorem although these identities have no direct relation to Stanley's open problem. In that sense our theorem can be regarded as a generalization of Sundquist's Pfaffian identities (See [23]). An intensive generalization was conjectured in [18] and proved in [7. There must be several ways to prove this type of identity. In [23] Sundquist gave a combinatorial proof of his Pfaffian identities. In [7] the authors adopted an algebraic method to prove identities of this type. Here we give an analytic proof of our theorem, in which we regard both sides of this identity as meromorphic functions and check the Laurent series expansion at each isolated pole in the Riemann sphere. The idea to use complex analysis to prove various determinant and Pfaffian identities is first hinted by Prof. H. Kawamuko to the author, and the author recognized this can be a powerful tool to prove various identities including determinants and Pfaffians. This idea was also used to prove a Pfaffian-Hafnian analogue of Borchardt's identity in [5]. In this section, we first state our theorems and later give proofs of them.

First we fix notation. Let $n$ be an non-negative integer. Let $X=$ $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right), Y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{2 n}\right), A=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 n}\right)$ and $B=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{2 n}\right)$ be $2 n$-tuples of variables. Set $V_{i j}^{n}(X, Y ; A, B)$ to be

$$
\begin{cases}a_{i} x_{i}^{n-j} y_{i}^{j-1} & \text { if } 1 \leq j \leq n, \\ b_{i} x_{i}^{2 n-j} y_{i}^{j-n-1} & \text { if } n+1 \leq j \leq 2 n,\end{cases}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq 2 n$, and define $V^{n}(X, Y ; A, B)$ by

$$
V^{n}(X, Y ; A, B)=\operatorname{det}\left(V_{i j}^{n}(X, Y ; A, B)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 n} .
$$

For example, if $n=1$, then we have $V^{1}(X, Y ; A, B)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}a_{1} & b_{1} \\ a_{2} & b_{2}\end{array}\right|$, and if $n=2$, then $V^{2}(X, Y ; A, B)$ looks as follows:

$$
V^{2}(X, Y ; A, B)=\left|\begin{array}{llll}
a_{1} x_{1} & a_{1} y_{1} & b_{1} x_{1} & b_{1} y_{1} \\
a_{2} x_{2} & a_{2} y_{2} & b_{2} x_{2} & b_{2} y_{2} \\
a_{3} x_{3} & a_{3} y_{3} & b_{3} x_{3} & b_{3} y_{3} \\
a_{4} x_{4} & a_{4} y_{4} & b_{4} x_{4} & b_{4} y_{4}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Let $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right), Y=$ $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{2 n}\right), A=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 n}\right), B=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{2 n}\right), C=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{2 n}\right)$ and $D=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{2 n}\right)$ be $2 n$-tuples of variables. Then

$$
\operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
a_{i} & b_{i}  \tag{3.1}\\
a_{j} & b_{j}
\end{array}\right| \cdot\left|\begin{array}{cc}
c_{i} & d_{i} \\
c_{j} & d_{j}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x_{i} & y_{i} \\
x_{j} & y_{j}
\end{array}\right|}\right]_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}=\frac{V^{n}(X, Y ; A, B) V^{n}(X, Y ; C, D)}{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x_{i} & y_{i} \\
x_{j} & y_{j}
\end{array}\right|}
$$

The following proposition is obtained easily by elementary transformations of the matrices and we will prove it later.
Proposition 3.2. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Let $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right)$ be a $2 n$-tuple of variables and let $t$ be an indeterminate. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{n}\left(X, \mathbf{1}+t X^{2} ; X, \mathbf{1}\right)=(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} t^{\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 1 denotes the $2 n$-tuple $(1, \ldots, 1)$, and $1+t X^{2}$ denotes the $2 n$-tuple $\left(1+t x_{1}^{2}, \ldots, 1+t x_{2 n}^{2}\right)$.

Let $t$ be an arbitrary indeterminate. If we set $y_{i}=1+t x_{i}^{2}$ in (3.1), then

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x_{i} & 1+t x_{i}^{2} \\
x_{j} & 1+t x_{j}^{2}
\end{array}\right|=\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left(1-t x_{i} x_{j}\right)
$$

and (3.2) immediately implies the following corollary.
Corollary 3.3. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer. Let $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right)$, $A=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 n}\right), B=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{2 n}\right), C=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{2 n}\right)$ and $D=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{2 n}\right)$ be $2 n$-tuples of variables. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{\left(a_{i} b_{j}-a_{j} b_{i}\right)\left(c_{i} d_{j}-c_{j} d_{i}\right)}{\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left(1-t x_{i} x_{j}\right)}\right]_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n} \\
& \quad=\frac{V^{n}\left(X, \mathbf{1}+t X^{2} ; A, B\right) V^{n}\left(X, \mathbf{1}+t X^{2} ; C, D\right)}{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left(1-t x_{i} x_{j}\right)} . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{a_{i} b_{j}-a_{j} b_{i}}{1-t x_{i} x_{j}}\right]_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}=(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} t^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{V^{n}\left(X, \mathbf{1}+t X^{2} ; A, B\right)}{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(1-t x_{i} x_{j}\right)} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the latter half of this section we show that we can derive Sundquist's Pfaffian identities from ours. Let $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right)$ and $A=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 n}\right)$ be $2 n$-tuples of variables and let $S_{2 n}$ act on each by permuting indices. For compositions $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of length $n$, we write

$$
a_{\alpha, \beta}(X ; A)=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{2 n}} \epsilon(\sigma) \sigma\left(a_{1} x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots a_{n} x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} x_{n+1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots x_{2 n}^{\beta_{n}}\right) .
$$

This is to say

$$
a_{\alpha, \beta}(X ; A)=\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
a_{1} x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} & \ldots & a_{1} x_{1}^{\alpha_{n}} & x_{1}^{\beta_{1}} & \ldots & x_{1}^{\beta_{n}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{2 n} x_{2 n}^{\alpha_{1}} & \ldots & a_{2 n} x_{2 n}^{\alpha_{n}} & x_{2 n}^{\beta_{1}} & \ldots & x_{2 n}^{\beta_{n}}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Let $n$ be a non-negative integer. Let $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ denote the set of all partitions of the form $\lambda=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r} \mid \alpha_{1}+1, \ldots, \alpha_{r}+1\right)$ in Frobenius notation with $\alpha_{r} \leq n-1$. For example,

$$
\mathcal{P}_{4}=\left\{\emptyset, 1^{2}, 21^{2}, 2^{3}, 31^{3}, 32^{2} 1,3^{2} 2^{2}, 3^{4}\right\} .
$$

We put

$$
U^{n}(X ; A)=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n}} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n}} a_{\lambda, \mu}(X ; A) .
$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer. Let $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right)$ and $A=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 n}\right)$ be $2 n$-tuples of variables. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{n}(X ; A)=V^{n}\left(X, \mathbf{1}-X^{2} ; A, \mathbf{1}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{1}-X^{2}=\left(1-x_{1}^{2}, \ldots, 1-x_{2 n}^{2}\right)$ and $\mathbf{1}=(1, \ldots, 1)$.
From Theorem 3.1 Corollary 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 we obtain the following Pfaffian identities which are obtained in [23] (See [23], Theorem 2.1).
Corollary 3.5. (Sundquist)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{a_{i}-a_{j}}{x_{i}+x_{j}}\right]_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}=(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{a_{2 \delta_{n}, 2 \delta_{n}}(X ; A)}{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(x_{i}+x_{j}\right)}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{a_{i}-a_{j}}{1+x_{i} x_{j}}\right]_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}=\frac{U^{n}(X ; A)}{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(1+x_{i} x_{j}\right)} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we state the proofs of our theorems. Before we prove Theorem 3.1 we need two lemmas. Let $n$ and $r$ be integers such that $2 n \geq r \geq 0$. Let $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right)$ be a $2 n$-tuple of variables and let $1 \leq k_{1}<\cdots<k_{r} \leq$ $2 n$ be a sequence of integers. Let $X^{\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{r}\right)}$ denote the $(2 n-r)$-tuple of variables obtained by removing the variables $x_{k_{1}}, \ldots, x_{k_{r}}$ from $X_{2 n}$.
Lemma 3.6. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Let $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right)$ and $A=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 n}\right)$ be $2 n$-tuples of variables. Let $k, l$ be any integers such that $1 \leq k<l \leq 2 n$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.V^{n}(X, \mathbf{1} ; A, \mathbf{1})\right|_{x_{l}=x_{k}} & =(-1)^{k+l+n}\left(a_{k}-a_{l}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq k, l}}^{2 n}\left(x_{i}-x_{k}\right) \cdot V^{n-1}\left(X^{(k, l)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, l)} ; A^{(k, l)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, l)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where 1 denotes the $2 n$-tuple $(1, \ldots, 1)$.
Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $k=2 n-1$ and $l=2 n$. From the definition, $V^{n}(X, \mathbf{1} ; A, \mathbf{1})$ is in the form of

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
a_{i} x_{i}^{n-j} & \text { if } 1 \leq j \leq n, \\
x_{i}^{2 n-j} & \text { if } n+1 \leq j \leq 2 n .
\end{array}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 n}\right.
$$

For example, when $n=3$, if we substitute $x_{6}=x_{5}$ into this determinant, we obtain

$$
\left.V^{n}(X, \mathbf{1} ; A, \mathbf{1})\right|_{x_{6}=x_{5}}=\left|\begin{array}{llllll}
a_{1} x_{1}^{2} & a_{1} x_{1} & a_{1} & x_{1}^{2} & x_{1} & 1 \\
a_{2} x_{2}^{2} & a_{2} x_{2} & a_{2} & x_{2}^{2} & x_{2} & 1 \\
a_{3} x_{3}^{2} & a_{3} x_{3} & a_{3} & x_{3}^{2} & x_{3} & 1 \\
a_{4} x_{4}^{2} & a_{4} x_{4} & a_{4} & x_{4}^{2} & x_{4} & 1 \\
a_{5} x_{5}^{2} & a_{5} x_{5} & a_{5} & x_{5}^{2} & x_{5} & 1 \\
a_{6} x_{5}^{2} & a_{6} x_{5} & a_{6} & x_{5}^{2} & x_{5} & 1
\end{array}\right| .
$$

First subtract the last row from the second last row, and next factor out $\left(a_{2 n-1}-a_{2 n}\right)$ from the second last row. Then, subtract $a_{2 n}$ times the second last row from the last row. Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.V^{n}(X, \mathbf{1} ; A, \mathbf{1})\right|_{x_{2 n}=x_{2 n-1}}=\left(a_{2 n-1}-a_{2 n}\right) \\
& \times \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{i} x_{i}^{n-j} & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq 2 n-2 \text { and } 1 \leq j \leq n, \\
x_{i}^{2 n-j} & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq 2 n-2 \text { and } n+1 \leq j \leq 2 n, \\
x_{i}^{n-j} & \text { if } i=2 n-1 \text { and } 1 \leq j \leq n, \\
0 & \text { if } i=2 n-1 \text { and } n+1 \leq j \leq 2 n, \\
0 & \text { if } i=2 n \text { and } 1 \leq j \leq n, \\
x_{i}^{2 n-j} & \text { if } i=2 n \text { and } n+1 \leq j \leq 2 n .
\end{array}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we use our example, then the matrix after this transformations looks like

$$
\left.V^{3}(X, \mathbf{1} ; A, 1)\right|_{x_{6}=x_{5}}=\left(a_{5}-a_{6}\right)\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
a_{1} x_{1}^{2} & a_{1} x_{1} & a_{1} & x_{1}^{2} & x_{1} & 1 \\
a_{2} x_{2}^{2} & a_{2} x_{2} & a_{2} & x_{2}^{2} & x_{2} & 1 \\
a_{3} x_{3}^{2} & a_{3} x_{3} & a_{3} & x_{3}^{2} & x_{3} & 1 \\
a_{4} x_{4}^{2} & a_{4} x_{4} & a_{4} & x_{4}^{2} & x_{4} & 1 \\
x_{5}^{2} & x_{5} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & x_{5}^{2} & x_{5} & 1
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Now subtract $x_{2 n-1}$ times the second column from the first column, then subtract $x_{2 n-1}$ times the third column from the second column, and so on, until we subtract $x_{2 n-1}$ times the $n$th column from the $(n-1)$ th column. Next subtract $x_{2 n-1}$ times the $(n+2)$ th column from the $(n+1)$ th column, then subtract $x_{2 n-1}$ times the $(n+3)$ th column from the $(n+2)$ th column, and so on, until we subtract $x_{2 n-1}$ times the $2 n$th column from the $(2 n-1)$ th column. If we expand the resulting determinant along the last two rows and factor out $\left(x_{i}-x_{2 n}\right)$ from the $i$ th row for $1 \leq i \leq 2 n-2$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.V^{n}(X, \mathbf{1} ; A, \mathbf{1})\right|_{x_{2 n}=x_{2 n-1}}=(-1)^{n+1}\left(a_{2 n-1}-a_{2 n}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{2 n-2}\left(x_{i}-x_{2 n-1}\right) \\
\times V^{n-1}\left(X^{(2 n-1,2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(2 n-1,2 n)} ; A^{(2 n-1,2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(2 n-1,2 n)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and this proves our lemma.
Lemma 3.7. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Let $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right), A=$ $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 n}\right)$ and $C=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{2 n}\right)$ be $2 n$-tuples of variables. Then the following identity holds.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{2 n-1} \frac{\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq k}}^{2 n-1}\left(x_{k}-x_{i}\right)}{x_{k}-x_{2 n}}\left(a_{k}-a_{2 n}\right)\left(c_{k}-c_{2 n}\right) \\
& \times V^{n-1}\left(X^{(k, 2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, 2 n)} ; A^{(k, 2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, 2 n)}\right) V^{n-1}\left(X^{(k, 2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, 2 n)} ; C^{(k, 2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, 2 n)}\right) \\
& =\frac{V^{n}(X, \mathbf{1} ; A, \mathbf{1}) V^{n}(X, \mathbf{1} ; C, \mathbf{1})}{\prod_{i=1}^{2 n-1}\left(x_{i}-x_{2 n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here 1 denotes the $2 n$-tuples $(1, \ldots, 1)$.
Proof. It is enough to prove this lemma as an identity for a rational function in the complex variable $x_{2 n}$. Further we may assume $x_{1}, \ldots$, $x_{2 n-1}$ are distinct complex numbers. Denote by $F\left(x_{2 n}\right)$ the left-hand
side, and by $G\left(x_{2 n}\right)$ the right-hand side. Under this assumption $F\left(x_{2 n}\right)$ and $G\left(x_{2 n}\right)$ have only simple poles as singularities, and these simple poles reside at $x_{2 n}=x_{k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 2 n-1$. First we want to show that

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{x_{2 n}=x_{k}} F\left(x_{2 n}\right)=\operatorname{Res}_{x_{2 n}=x_{k}} G\left(x_{2 n}\right)
$$

The residue of the function $F\left(x_{2 n}\right)$ at $x_{2 n}=x_{k}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{x_{2 n} \rightarrow x_{k}}\left(x_{2 n}-x_{k}\right) F\left(x_{2 n}\right) \\
& =-\left(a_{k}-a_{2 n}\right)\left(c_{k}-c_{2 n}\right) \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq k}}^{2 n-1}\left(x_{k}-x_{i}\right) \\
& \times V^{n-1}\left(X^{(k, 2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, 2 n)} ; A^{(k, 2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, 2 n)}\right) V^{n-1}\left(X^{(k, 2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, 2 n)} ; C^{(k, 2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, 2 n)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, the residue of the function $G\left(x_{2 n}\right)$ at $x_{2 n}=x_{k}$ is

$$
\lim _{x_{2 n} \rightarrow x_{k}}\left(x_{2 n}-x_{k}\right) G\left(x_{2 n}\right)=-\frac{\left.\left.V^{n}(X, \mathbf{1} ; A, \mathbf{1})\right|_{\substack{x_{2 n}=x_{k}}} V^{n}(X, \mathbf{1} ; C, \mathbf{1})\right|_{x_{2 n}=x_{k}}}{\prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^{2 n-1}\left(x_{i}-x_{k}\right)}
$$

By Lemma.3.6 we see that $\operatorname{Res}_{x_{2 n}=x_{k}} G\left(x_{2 n}\right)$ is equal to $\operatorname{Res}_{x_{2 n}=x_{k}} F\left(x_{2 n}\right)$. Thus it is shown that the principal part of $F\left(x_{2 n}\right)$ at each singularity coincides with that of $G\left(x_{2 n}\right)$. Also it is clear that $\lim _{x_{2 n} \rightarrow \infty} F\left(x_{2 n}\right)=$ $\lim _{x_{2 n} \rightarrow \infty} G\left(x_{2 n}\right)=0$. Hence we have $F\left(x_{2 n}\right)=G\left(x_{2 n}\right)$.

Now we are in the position to prove the first theorem in this section.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. First we prove (3.1) when $Y=B=D=1=$ $(1, \ldots, 1)$, and deduce the general case to this special case. Thus our first claim is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)\left(c_{i}-c_{j}\right)}{x_{i}-x_{j}}\right]_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}=\frac{V^{n}(X, \mathbf{1} ; A, \mathbf{1}) V^{n}(X, \mathbf{1} ; C, \mathbf{1})}{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed by induction on $n$. When $n=1$, it is trivial since $V^{1}(X, \mathbf{1} ; A, \mathbf{1})=$ $a_{1}-a_{2}$ and $V^{1}(X, \mathbf{1} ; C, \mathbf{1})=c_{1}-c_{2}$. Assume $n \geq 2$ and the identity holds up to ( $n-1$ ). Expanding the Pfaffian along the last row/column and using the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)\left(c_{i}-c_{j}\right)}{x_{i}-x_{j}}\right]_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{2 n-1}(-1)^{k-1} \frac{\left(a_{k}-a_{2 n}\right)\left(c_{k}-c_{2 n}\right)}{x_{k}-x_{2 n}} \\
& \times \frac{V^{n-1}\left(X^{(k, 2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, 2 n)} ; A^{(k, 2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, 2 n)}\right) V^{n-1}\left(X^{(k, 2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, 2 n)} ; C^{(k, 2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, 2 n)}\right)}{\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n-1 \\
i, j \neq k}}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)} . \\
& \text { Substituting } \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n-1 \\
i, j \neq k}}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)=(-1)^{k-1} \frac{\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n-1}}^{2 n-1}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)}{\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq k}}\left(x_{k}-x_{i}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

identity, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)\left(c_{i}-c_{j}\right)}{x_{i}-x_{j}}\right]_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n} \\
& =\frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n-1}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)} \sum_{k=1}^{2 n-1}\left(a_{k}-a_{2 n}\right)\left(c_{k}-c_{2 n}\right) \cdot \frac{\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq k}}^{2 n-1}\left(x_{k}-x_{i}\right)}{x_{k}-x_{2 n}} \\
& \times V^{n-1}\left(X^{(k, 2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, 2 n)} ; A^{(k, 2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, 2 n)}\right) V^{n-1}\left(X^{(k, 2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, 2 n)} ; C^{(k, 2 n)}, \mathbf{1}^{(k, 2 n)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.7 this equals

$$
\frac{V^{n}(X, \mathbf{1} ; A, \mathbf{1}) V^{n}(X, \mathbf{1} ; C, \mathbf{1})}{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)}
$$

and this proves (3.8). The general identity (3.1) is an easy consequence of (3.8) by substituting $\frac{x_{i}}{y_{i}}$ into $x_{i}, \frac{a_{i}}{b_{i}}$ into $b_{i}$ and $\frac{c_{i}}{d_{i}}$ into $c_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2 n$ in the both sides of (3.8). In fact, if we write $X / Y$ for $\left(x_{1} / y_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n} / y_{2 n}\right)$, $A / B$ for $\left(a_{1} / b_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 n} / b_{2 n}\right)$, and $C / D$ for $\left(c_{1} / d_{1}, \ldots, c_{2 n} / d_{2 n}\right)$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V^{n}(X / Y, \mathbf{1} ; A / B, \mathbf{1})=\prod_{i=1}^{2 n} b_{i}^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{2 n} y_{i}^{-n+1} \cdot V^{n}(X, Y ; A, B) \\
& V^{n}(X / Y, \mathbf{1} ; C / D, \mathbf{1})=\prod_{i=1}^{2 n} d_{i}^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{2 n} y_{i}^{-n+1} \cdot V^{n}(X, Y ; C, D)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the denominator of the right-hand side of (3.8) is

$$
\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(x_{i} / y_{i}-x_{j} / y_{j}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{2 n} y_{i}^{-2 n+1} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x_{i} & y_{i} \\
x_{j} & y_{j}
\end{array}\right|,
$$

the right-hand side of (3.8) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{V^{n}(X / Y, \mathbf{1} ; A / B, \mathbf{1}) V^{n}(X / Y, \mathbf{1} ; C / D, \mathbf{1})}{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(x_{i} / y_{i}-x_{j} / y_{j}\right)} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{2 n} b_{i}^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{2 n} d_{i}^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{2 n} y_{i} \cdot \frac{V^{n}(X, Y ; A, B) V^{n}(X, Y ; C, D)}{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x_{i} & y_{i} \\
x_{j} & y_{j}
\end{array}\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, the left-hand side of 3.8 becomes
$\operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{\left(\frac{a_{i}}{b_{i}}-\frac{a_{j}}{b_{j}}\right)\left(\frac{c_{i}}{d_{i}}-\frac{c_{j}}{d_{j}}\right)}{\frac{x_{i}}{y_{i}}-\frac{x_{j}}{y_{j}}}\right]_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}=\prod_{i=1}^{2 n}\left(b_{i}^{-1} d_{i}^{-1} y_{i}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{\left|\begin{array}{ll}a_{i} & b_{i} \\ a_{j} & b_{j}\end{array}\right| \cdot\left|\begin{array}{ll}c_{i} & d_{i} \\ c_{j} & d_{j}\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}x_{i} & y_{i} \\ x_{j} & y_{j}\end{array}\right|}\right]_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}$.
and this proves (3.1).
Next we give a proof of Proposition 3.2
Proof of Proposition 3.2 From definition, $V^{n}\left(X, 1+t X^{2} ; X, 1\right)$ is equal to

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x_{i}^{n-j+1}\left(1+t x_{i}^{2}\right)^{j-1} & \text { if } 1 \leq j \leq n, \\
x_{i}^{2 n-j}\left(1+t x_{i}^{2}\right)^{j-n-1} & \text { if } n+1 \leq j \leq 2 n .
\end{array}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 n}\right.
$$

For example, if $n=3$, then $V^{3}\left(X, \mathbf{1}+t X^{2} ; X, \mathbf{1}\right)$ is equal to

$$
\left|\begin{array}{llllll}
x_{1}^{3} & x_{1}^{2}\left(1+t x_{1}^{2}\right) & x_{1}\left(1+t x_{1}^{2}\right)^{2} & x_{1}^{2} & x_{1}\left(1+t x_{1}^{2}\right) & \left(1+t x_{1}^{2}\right)^{2} \\
x_{2}^{3} & x_{2}^{2}\left(1+t x_{2}^{2}\right) & x_{2}\left(1+t x_{2}^{2}\right)^{2} & x_{2}^{2} & x_{2}\left(1+t x_{2}^{2}\right) & \left(1+t x_{2}^{2}\right)^{2} \\
x_{3}^{3} & x_{3}^{2}\left(1+t x_{3}^{2}\right) & x_{3}\left(1+t x_{3}^{2}\right)^{2} & x_{3}^{2} & x_{3}\left(1+t x_{3}^{2}\right) & \left(1+t x_{3}^{2}\right)^{2} \\
x_{4}^{3} & x_{4}^{2}\left(1+t x_{4}^{2}\right) & x_{4}\left(1+t x_{4}^{2}\right)^{2} & x_{4}^{2} & x_{4}\left(1+t x_{4}^{2}\right) & \left(1+t x_{4}^{2}\right)^{2} \\
x_{5}^{3} & x_{5}^{2}\left(1+t x_{5}^{2}\right) & x_{5}\left(1+t x_{5}^{2}\right)^{2} & x_{5}^{2} & x_{5}\left(1+t x_{5}^{2}\right) & \left(1+t x_{5}^{2}\right)^{2} \\
x_{6}^{3} & x_{6}^{2}\left(1+t x_{6}^{2}\right) & x_{6}\left(1+t x_{6}^{2}\right)^{2} & x_{6}^{2} & x_{6}\left(1+t x_{6}^{2}\right) & \left(1+t x_{6}^{2}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

By expanding $\left(1+t x_{i}^{2}\right)^{j-1}$ and $\left(1+t x_{i}^{2}\right)^{j-n-1}$, and performing appropriate elementary column transformations, this determinant becomes

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{i}^{n} & \text { if } j=1, \\
x_{i}^{n+1-j}+t^{j-1} x_{i}^{j+n-1} & \text { if } 2 \leq j \leq n, \\
x_{i}^{n-1} & \text { if } j=2 n+1, \\
x_{i}^{2 n-j}+t^{j-n-1} x_{i}^{j-2} & \text { if } n+2 \leq j \leq 2 n .
\end{array}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 n}
$$

For example, if $n=3$, then this determinant equals

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
x_{1}^{3} & x_{1}^{2}+t x_{1}^{4} & x_{1}+t^{2} x_{1}^{5} & x_{1}^{2} & x_{1}+t x_{1}^{3} & 1+t^{2} x_{1}^{4} \\
x_{2}^{3} & x_{2}^{2}+t x_{2}^{4} & x_{2}+t^{2} x_{2}^{5} & x_{2}^{2} & x_{2}+t x_{2}^{3} & 1+t^{2} x_{2}^{4} \\
x_{3}^{3} & x_{3}^{2}+t x_{3}^{4} & x_{3}+t^{2} x_{3}^{5} & x_{3}^{2} & x_{3}+t x_{3}^{3} & 1+t^{2} x_{3}^{4} \\
x_{4}^{3} & x_{4}^{2}+t x_{4}^{4} & x_{4}+t^{2} x_{4}^{5} & x_{4}^{2} & x_{4}+t x_{4}^{3} & 1+t^{2} x_{4}^{4} \\
x_{5}^{3} & x_{5}^{2}+t x_{5}^{4} & x_{5}+t^{2} x_{5}^{5} & x_{5}^{2} & x_{5}+t x_{5}^{3} & 1+t^{2} x_{5}^{4} \\
x_{6}^{3} & x_{6}^{2}+t x_{6}^{4} & x_{6}+t^{2} x_{6}^{5} & x_{6}^{2} & x_{6}+t x_{6}^{3} & 1+t^{2} x_{6}^{4}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

We subtract $t$ times the first column from the $(n+2)$ th column, and subtract the $(n+1)$ th column from the second column. Then we subtract $t$ times the second column from the $(n+3)$ th column, and subtract the $(n+2)$ th column from the third column. We continue this transformation until we subtract $t$ times the $(n-1)$ th column from the $2 n$th column, and subtract the $(2 n-1)$ th column from the $n$th column. Thus we obtain
$V^{n}\left(X, \mathbf{1}+t X^{2} ; X, \mathbf{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}t^{j-1} x_{i}^{j+n-1} & \text { if } 1 \leq j \leq n, \\ x_{i}^{2 n-j} & \text { if } n+1 \leq j \leq 2 n,\end{array}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 n}$.
If we illustrate by the above example, then this determinant looks like

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
x_{1}^{3} & t x_{1}^{4} & t^{2} x_{1}^{5} & x_{1}^{2} & x_{1} & 1 \\
x_{2}^{3} & t x_{2}^{4} & t^{2} x_{2}^{5} & x_{2}^{2} & x_{2} & 1 \\
x_{3}^{3} & t x_{3}^{4} & t^{2} x_{3}^{5} & x_{3}^{2} & x_{3} & 1 \\
x_{4}^{3} & t x_{4}^{4} & t^{2} x_{4}^{5} & x_{4}^{2} & x_{4} & 1 \\
x_{5}^{3} & t x_{5}^{4} & t^{2} x_{5}^{5} & x_{5}^{2} & x_{5} & 1 \\
x_{6}^{3} & t x_{6}^{4} & t^{2} x_{6}^{5} & x_{6}^{2} & x_{6} & 1
\end{array}\right| .
$$

By the Vandermonde determinant, we can easily conclude that

$$
V^{n}\left(X, \mathbf{1}+t X^{2} ; X, \mathbf{1}\right)=(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} t^{0+1+2+\cdots+(n-1)} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)
$$

This completes the proof.
Corollary 3.3 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 So, in the rest of this section we derive Corollary 3.5 from Theorem 3.1 The following proposition is an immediate consequence of the Laplace expansion formula and the Littlewood formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n}} s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(1+x_{i} x_{j}\right), \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [15] I.5. Ex.9). Let $\binom{S}{r}$ denote the set of all $r$-element subsets of $S$ for any finite set $S$ and a non-negative integer $r$.
Proposition 3.8. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer. Let $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right)$ and $A=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 n}\right)$ be $2 n$-tuples of variables. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U^{n}(X ; A)=\sum_{\substack{ \\
I \in\left(\begin{array}{c}
{[2 n] \\
n}
\end{array}\right)}}(-1)^{|I|+\binom{n+1}{2}} a_{I} \prod_{\substack{i, j \in I \\
i<j}}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left(1+x_{i} x_{j}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{\substack{i, j \in I^{c} \\
i<j}}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left(1+x_{i} x_{j}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I^{c}=[2 n] \backslash I$ is the complementary set of $I$ in $[2 n]$ and $a_{I}=\prod_{i \in I} a_{i}$ for any subset $I \subseteq[2 n]$.

Proof. We write $\Delta\left(X_{I}\right)=\prod_{\substack{i, j \in I \\ i<j}}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)$. By the Laplace expansion formula we have

$$
a_{\lambda, \mu}(X ; A)=\sum_{I \in\binom{[2 n]}{n}}(-1)^{|I|+\binom{n+1}{2}} a_{I} \Delta\left(X_{I}\right) \Delta\left(X_{I^{c}}\right) s_{\lambda}\left(X_{I}\right) s_{\mu}\left(X_{I^{c}}\right),
$$

where $X_{I}$ stands for the $n$-tuple of variables with index set $I$ and $X_{I^{c}}$ stands for the $n$-tuple of variables with index set $I^{c}$, and $|I|=\sum_{i \in I} i$. By (3.9) we easily obtain the desired formula.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. As before we apply the Laplace expansion formula to $V^{n}\left(X, 1-X^{2} ; A, \mathbf{1}\right)$ to obtain

$$
V^{n}\left(X, \mathbf{1}-X^{2} ; A, \mathbf{1}\right)=\sum_{I \in\binom{[2 n]}{n}}(-1)^{|I|+\binom{n+1}{2}} a_{I} \operatorname{det} \Delta^{I}(M) \operatorname{det} \Delta^{I^{c}}(M),
$$

where $M=\left(x_{i}^{n-j}\left(1-x_{i}^{2}\right)^{j-1}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq 2 n, 1 \leq j \leq n}$. By the Vandermonde determinant, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} \Delta^{I}(M) & =\prod_{\substack{i, j \in I \\
i<j}}\left\{x_{i}\left(1-x_{j}^{2}\right)-x_{j}\left(1-x_{i}^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& =\prod_{\substack{i, j \in I \\
i<j}}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left(1+x_{i} x_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we conclude that

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{n}\left(X, \mathbf{1}-X^{2} ; A, \mathbf{1}\right)=\sum_{\substack{I \in\left(\begin{array}{c}
{[2 n] \\
n}
\end{array}\right)}}(-1)^{|I|+\binom{n+1}{2}} a_{I} \prod_{\substack{i, j \in I \\
i<j}}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left(1+x_{i} x_{j}\right) \\
\times \prod_{\substack{i, j \in I^{c} \\
i<j}}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left(1+x_{i} x_{j}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, by Proposition 3.8 we complete our proof.
Proof of Corollary 3.5. If we substitute $x_{i}^{2}$ into $x_{i}, x_{i}$ into $c_{i}$, and 1 into $y_{i}, b_{i}$ and $d_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2 n$ in (3.1), then we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{a_{i}-a_{j}}{x_{i}+x_{j}}\right]_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}=\frac{V^{n}\left(X^{2}, \mathbf{1} ; A, \mathbf{1}\right) V^{n}\left(X^{2}, \mathbf{1} ; X, \mathbf{1}\right)}{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(x_{i}^{2}-x_{j}^{2}\right)},
$$

where $X^{2}=\left(x_{1}^{2}, \ldots, x_{2 n}^{2}\right)$. By Proposition 3.2

$$
V^{n}\left(X^{2}, \mathbf{1} ; X, \mathbf{1}\right)=(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)
$$

and this proves (3.6).
Next we state a proof of another identity. Substituting $t=-1$ and $b_{i}=1$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2 n$ in (3.4], we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{a_{i}-a_{j}}{1+x_{i} x_{j}}\right]_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}=\frac{V^{n}\left(X, \mathbf{1}-X^{2} ; A, \mathbf{1}\right)}{\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(1+x_{i} x_{j}\right)} .
$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.4 we immediately obtain the desired identity. This completes the proof.

## 4 A Proof of Stanley's Open Problem

The key idea of our proof is the following proposition, which the reader can find in [19], Exercise 7.7, or [22, Section 3.
Proposition 4.1. Let $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$ be a symmetric function with infinite variables. Then $f \in \mathbb{Q}\left[p_{\lambda}\right.$ : all parts $\lambda_{i}>0$ are odd $]$ if and only if

$$
f\left(t,-t, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right) . \square
$$

Our strategy is simple. If we set $v_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right)$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log z_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right)-\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{2 k} a^{k}\left(b^{k}-c^{k}\right) p_{2 k}\left(X_{2 n}\right)-\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{4 k} a^{k} b^{k} c^{k} d^{k} p_{2 k}\left(X_{2 n}\right)^{2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we claim it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n+1}\left(t,-t, X_{2 n}\right)=v_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This will eventually prove Theorem 1.1 As an immediate consequence of (2.4), (2.5) and (3.4), we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2. Let $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right)$ be a $2 n$-tuple of variables. Then
$z_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right)=(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{V^{n}\left(X^{2}, \mathbf{1}+a b c d X^{4} ; X+a X^{2}, \mathbf{1}-a(b+c) X^{2}-a b c X^{3}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{2 n}\left(1-a b x_{i}^{2}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left(1-a b c d x_{i}^{2} x_{j}^{2}\right)}$,
where $X^{2}=\left(x_{1}^{2}, \ldots, x_{2 n}^{2}\right), \mathbf{1}+a b c d X^{4}=\left(1+a b c d x_{1}^{4}, \ldots, 1+a b c d x_{2 n}^{4}\right)$, $X+a X^{2}=\left(x_{1}+a x_{1}^{2}, \ldots, x_{2 n}+a x_{2 n}^{2}\right)$ and $1-a(b+c) X^{2}-a b c X^{3}=$ $\left(1-a(b+c) x_{1}^{2}-a b c x_{1}^{3}, \ldots, 1-a(b+c) x_{2 n}^{2}-a b c x_{2 n}^{3}\right)$.

The (4.3) is key expression to prove that $v_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right)$ satisfies 4.2. Once one knows (4.3), then it is straight forward computation to prove Stanley's open problem. The following proposition is the first step.
Proposition 4.3. Let $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right)$ be a $2 n$-tuple of variables. Put

$$
f_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right)=V^{n}\left(X^{2}, \mathbf{1}+a b c d X^{4} ; X+a X^{2}, \mathbf{1}-a(b+c) X^{2}-a b c X^{3}\right)
$$

Then $f_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{n+1}\left(t,-t, X_{2 n}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{n} 2 t\left(1-a b t^{2}\right)\left(1-a c t^{2}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{2 n}\left(t^{2}-x_{i}^{2}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{2 n}\left(1-a b c d t^{2} x_{i}^{2}\right) \cdot f_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. First, we put $\xi_{i}=x_{i}^{2}, \eta_{i}=1+a b c d x_{i}^{4}, \alpha_{i}=x_{i}+a x_{i}^{2}, \beta_{i}=$ $1-a(b+c) x_{i}^{2}-a b c x_{i}^{3}$ and $\zeta_{i}=\xi_{i}^{-1} \eta_{i}=x_{i}^{-2}+a b c d x_{i}^{2}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2 n$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n+1}\left(X_{2 n+2}\right) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{i} \xi_{i}^{n+1-j} \eta_{i}^{j-1} & \text { if } 1 \leq j \leq n+1, \\
\beta_{i} \xi_{i}^{2 n+2-j} \eta_{i}^{j-n-2} & \text { if } n+2 \leq j \leq 2 n+2 .
\end{array}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 n+2}\right.
\end{aligned}, \begin{array}{ll}
2 n \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{2 n} \xi_{i}^{n} \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{i} \zeta_{i}^{j-1} & \text { if } 1 \leq j \leq n+1 \\
\beta_{i} \zeta_{i}^{j-n-2} & \text { if } n+2 \leq j \leq 2 n+2 .
\end{array}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 n+2}\right.
\end{array}
$$

For example, if $n=2$ then $f_{3}\left(X_{6}\right)$ looks as follows:

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{2 n} \xi_{i}^{2} \cdot\left|\begin{array}{llllll}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{1} \zeta_{1} & \alpha_{1} \zeta_{1}^{2} & \beta_{1} & \beta_{1} \zeta_{1} & \beta_{1} \zeta_{1}^{2} \\
\alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2} \zeta_{2} & \alpha_{2} \zeta_{2}^{2} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{2} \zeta_{2} & \beta_{2} \zeta_{2}^{2} \\
\alpha_{3} & \alpha_{3} \zeta_{3} & \alpha_{3} \zeta_{3}^{2} & \beta_{3} & \beta_{3} \zeta_{3} & \beta_{3} \zeta_{3}^{2} \\
\alpha_{4} & \alpha_{4} \zeta_{4} & \alpha_{4} \zeta_{4}^{2} & \beta_{4} & \beta_{4} \zeta_{4} & \beta_{4} \zeta_{4}^{2} \\
\alpha_{5} & \alpha_{5} \zeta_{5} & \alpha_{5} \zeta_{5}^{2} & \beta_{5} & \beta_{5} \zeta_{5} & \beta_{5} \zeta_{5}^{2} \\
\alpha_{6} & \alpha_{6} \zeta_{6} & \alpha_{6} \zeta_{6}^{2} & \beta_{6} & \beta_{6} \zeta_{6} & \beta_{6} \zeta_{6}^{2}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Now we subtract $\zeta_{1}$ times the $n$th column from the $(n+1)$ th column, then subtract $\zeta_{1}$ times the $(n-1)$ th column from the $n$th column, and so on, until we subtract $\zeta_{1}$ times the first column from the second column. Next we subtract $\zeta_{1}$ times the $(2 n+1)$ th column from the $(2 n+2)$ th column, then subtract $\zeta_{1}$ times the $2 n$th column from the $(2 n+1)$ th column, and so on, until we subtract $\zeta_{1}$ times the $(n+2)$ th column from the $(n+3)$ th column. Thus we obtain $f_{n+1}\left(X_{2 n+2}\right)$ is equal to

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{2 n} \xi_{i}^{n} \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1} & \text { if } i=1 \text { and } j=1, \\
\beta_{1} & \text { if } i=1 \text { and } j=n+2, \\
0 & \text { if } i=1 \text { and } j \neq 1, n+2, \\
\alpha_{i} \zeta_{i}^{j-2}\left(\zeta_{i}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \text { if } i \geq 2 \text { and } 1 \leq j \leq n+1, \\
\beta_{i} \zeta_{i}^{j-n-3}\left(\zeta_{i}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \text { if } i \geq 2 \text { and } n+2 \leq j \leq 2 n+2 .
\end{array}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 n+2} .
$$

If we illustrate in the above example, then this determinant looks

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{2 n} \xi_{i}^{2} \cdot\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
\alpha_{1} & 0 & 0 & \beta_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \alpha_{2} \zeta_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \beta_{2} & \beta_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \beta_{2} \zeta_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}-\zeta_{1}\right) \\
\alpha_{3} & \alpha_{3}\left(\zeta_{3}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \alpha_{3} \zeta_{3}\left(\zeta_{3}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \beta_{3} & \beta_{3}\left(\zeta_{3}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \beta_{3} \zeta_{3}\left(\zeta_{3}-\zeta_{1}\right) \\
\alpha_{4} & \alpha_{4}\left(\zeta_{4}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \alpha_{4} \zeta_{4}\left(\zeta_{4}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \beta_{4} & \beta_{4}\left(\zeta_{4}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \beta_{4} \zeta_{4}\left(\zeta_{4}-\zeta_{1}\right) \\
\alpha_{5} & \alpha_{5}\left(\zeta_{5}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \alpha_{5} \zeta_{5}\left(\zeta_{5}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \beta_{5} & \beta_{5}\left(\zeta_{5}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \beta_{5} \zeta_{5}\left(\zeta_{5}-\zeta_{1}\right) \\
\alpha_{6} & \alpha_{6}\left(\zeta_{6}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \alpha_{6} \zeta_{6}\left(\zeta_{6}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \beta_{6} & \beta_{6}\left(\zeta_{6}-\zeta_{1}\right) & \beta_{6} \zeta_{6}\left(\zeta_{6}-\zeta_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right|
$$

Here, if we assume $\xi_{1}=\xi_{2}$ and $\zeta_{1}=\zeta_{2}$ hold, then $f_{n+1}\left(X_{2 n+2}\right)$ is equal to

$$
(-1)^{n}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1} & \beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{2} & \beta_{2}
\end{array}\right| \cdot \prod_{i=3}^{2 n+2}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\xi_{1} & \eta_{1} \\
\xi_{i} & \eta_{i}
\end{array}\right| \cdot f_{n}\left(x_{3}, \ldots, x_{2 n+2}\right)
$$

Now we substitute $X_{2 n+2}=\left(t,-t, X_{2 n}\right)$ into this identity, then, since we have $\xi_{1}=\xi_{2}=t^{2}, \zeta_{1}=\zeta_{2}=t^{-2}+a b c d t^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\begin{array}{ll}
\xi_{1} & \eta_{1} \\
\xi_{i} & \eta_{i}
\end{array}\right|=\left(t^{2}-x_{i}^{2}\right)\left(1-a b c d x_{i}^{2}\right), \\
& \left|\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1} & \beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{2} & \beta_{2}
\end{array}\right|=2 t\left(1-a b t^{2}\right)\left(1-a c t^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

## thus we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n+1}\left(t,-t, X_{2 n}\right)= & (-1)^{n} \cdot 2 t\left(1-a b t^{2}\right)\left(1-a c t^{2}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{2 n}\left(t^{2}-x_{i}^{2}\right)\left(1-a b c d t^{2} x_{i}^{2}\right) \cdot f_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves our proposition.
Proposition 4.4. Let $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}\right)$ be a $2 n$-tuple of variables. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n+1}\left(t,-t, X_{2 n}\right)=\frac{1-a c t^{2}}{\left(1-a b t^{2}\right)\left(1-a b c d t^{4}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{2 n}\left(1-a b c d t^{2} x_{i}^{2}\right)} z_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.2 we have
$z_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right)=(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{f_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{2 n}\left(1-a b x_{i}^{2}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left(1-a b c d x_{i}^{2} x_{j}^{2}\right)}$.
This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{n+1}\left(t,-t, X_{2 n}\right)=(-1)^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \frac{1}{2 t\left(1-a b t^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-a b c d t^{4}\right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{2 n}\left(t^{2}-x_{i}^{2}\right)\left(1-a b c d t^{2} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& \times \frac{f_{n+1}\left(t,-t, X_{2 n}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{2 n}\left(1-a b x_{i}^{2}\right) \prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)\left(1-a b c d x_{i}^{2} x_{j}^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, substituting (4.4], we obtain the desired identity.
Now we are in the position to complete our proof of Stanley's open problem.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. 4.5) immediately implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\log z_{n+1}\left(t,-t, X_{2 n}\right)= & \log z_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right)+\log \frac{1}{1-a b t^{2}}-\log \frac{1}{1-a c t^{2}} \\
& +\log \frac{1}{1-a b c d t^{4}}+\sum_{i=1}^{2 n} \log \frac{1}{1-a b c d t^{2} x_{i}^{2}} . \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, $p_{2 k}\left(t,-t, X_{2 n}\right)=2 t^{2 k}+\sum_{i=1}^{2 n} x_{i}^{2 k}$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{a^{n}\left(b^{n}-c^{n}\right)}{2 k} p_{2 k}\left(t,-t, X_{2 n}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{a^{n}\left(b^{n}-c^{n}\right)}{2 k} p_{2 k}\left(X_{2 n}\right)+\log \frac{1}{1-a b t^{2}}-\log \frac{1}{1-a c t^{2}} \\
& \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{a^{n} b^{n} c^{n} d^{n}}{4 k} p_{2 k}\left(t,-t, X_{2 n}\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{a^{n} b^{n} c^{n} d^{n}}{4 k} p_{2 k}\left(X_{2 n}\right)^{2}+\log \frac{1}{1-a b c d t^{4}}+\sum_{i=1}^{2 n} \log \frac{1}{1-a b c d t^{2} x_{i}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, putting $v_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right)$ as in (4.1), we easily find $v_{n}\left(X_{2 n}\right)$ satisfies 4.2 from (4.6). This completes our proof of Theorem 1.1] $\square$

## 5 Corollaries

The author tried to find an analogous formula when the sum runs over all distinct partitions by computer experiments using Stembridge's SF package (cf. [2] and [3). But the author could not find any conceivable formula when the sum runs over all distinct partitions, and, instead, found the following formula involving the big Schur functions and certain symmetric functions arising from the Macdonald polynomials as byproducts. But, later, Prof. R. Stanley and Prof. A. Lascoux independently pointed out these are derived from Theorem 1.1 as corollaries. Let $S_{\lambda}(x ; t)=\operatorname{det}\left(q_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}(x ; t)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell(\lambda)}$ denote the big Schur function corresponding to the partition $\lambda$, where $q_{r}(x ; t)=Q_{(r)}(x ; t)$ denotes the Hall-Littlewood function (See [15, III, sec.2).
Corollary 5.1. Let

$$
Z(x ; t)=\sum_{\lambda} \omega(\lambda) S_{\lambda}(x ; t),
$$

Here the sum runs over all partitions $\lambda$. Then we have
$\log Z(x ; t)-\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{2 n} a^{n}\left(b^{n}-c^{n}\right)\left(1-t^{2 n}\right) p_{2 n}-\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{4 n} a^{n} b^{n} c^{n} d^{n}\left(1-t^{2 n}\right)^{2} p_{2 n}^{2}$ $\in \mathbb{Q}\left[\left[p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{5}, \ldots\right]\right]$.

Proof. This proof was originally suggested by Prof. R. Stanley. Let $\Lambda_{x}$ denote the ring of symmetric functions in countably many variables $x_{1}$, $x_{2}, \ldots$ (For details see [15], I, sec.2). Let $\theta_{x}$ be the ring homomorphism $\Lambda_{x} \rightarrow \Lambda_{x}[t]$ taking $h_{n}(x)$ to $q_{n}(x ; t)$. By the Jacobi-Trudi identity we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\lambda}(x)=\operatorname{det}\left(h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}(x)\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. [15], I, sec. 2 (3.4).) Applying $\theta_{x}$ to the both sides, and using the definition of the big Schur $S_{\lambda}(x ; t)=\operatorname{det}\left(q_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}(x ; t)\right)$ (15, III, sec.4, (4.5)), we obtain

$$
\theta_{x}\left(s_{\lambda}(x)\right)=S_{\lambda}(x ; t)
$$

By taking logarithms of

$$
\sum_{\lambda} S_{\lambda}(x ; t) s_{\lambda}(y)=\prod_{i, j \geq 1} \frac{1-t x_{i} y_{j}}{1-x_{i} y_{j}}
$$

([15], III, sec.4, (4.7)), the product on the right-hand side is

$$
\exp \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n}\left(1-t^{n}\right) p_{n}(x) p_{n}(y) .
$$

Similarly, by taking logarithms of the right-hand side of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(x) s_{\lambda}(y)=\prod_{i, j \geq 1}\left(1-x_{i} y_{j}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(15), I, sec4, (4.3)), we have

$$
\sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(x) s_{\lambda}(y)=\exp \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} p_{n}(x) p_{n}(y)
$$

and it follows that $\theta\left(p_{n}\right)=\left(1-t^{n}\right) p_{n}$. The identity (5.1) now follows by applying $\theta$ to equation (1.1).

This corollary is generalized to the two parameter polynomials defined by I. G. Macdonald. Define

$$
T_{\lambda}(x ; q, t)=\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{\left(\lambda_{i}-i+j\right)}(x ; q, t)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell(\lambda)}
$$

where $Q_{\lambda}(x ; q, t)$ stands for the Macdonald polynomial corresponding to the partition $\lambda$, and $Q_{(r)}(x ; q, t)$ is the one corresponding to the one row partition ( $r$ ) (See [15, IV, sec.4).
Corollary 5.2. Let

$$
Z(x ; q, t)=\sum_{\lambda} \omega(\lambda) T_{\lambda}(x ; q, t),
$$

Here the sum runs over all partitions $\lambda$. Then we have
$\log Z(x ; q, t)-\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{2 n} a^{n}\left(b^{n}-c^{n}\right) \frac{1-t^{2 n}}{1-q^{2 n}} p_{2 n}-\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{4 n} a^{n} b^{n} c^{n} d^{n} \frac{\left(1-t^{2 n}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-q^{2 n}\right)^{2}} p_{2 n}^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\in \mathbb{Q}\left[\left[p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{5}, \ldots\right]\right] . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof proceeds almost parallel to that of Corollary $5.1 \mathrm{ex}-$ cept that we define the ring homomorphism $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda(t, q)$ by $\theta\left(h_{n}\right)=$ $g_{n}(x ; q, t)$. Here we write $g_{n}(x ; q, t)=Q_{(n)}(x ; q, t)$, following the notation in 15. Since

$$
\sum_{n \geq 0} g_{n}(x ; q, t) y^{n}=\prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{\left(t x_{i} y ; q\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x_{i} y ; q\right)_{\infty}}
$$

([15], VI, sec. 2 (2.8)), if we introduce a set of fictitious variables $\xi_{i}$ by

$$
\frac{\left(t x_{i} y ; q\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x_{i} y ; q\right)_{\infty}}=\prod_{i \geq 1}\left(1-\xi_{i} y\right)^{-1}
$$

then we have $g_{r}(x ; t)=h_{r}(\xi)$, and therefore, by Jacobi-Trudi identity (5.2), this implies $T_{\lambda}(x ; t)=s_{\lambda}(\xi)$. By (5.3) we obtain

$$
\sum_{\lambda} T_{\lambda}(x ; q, t) s_{\lambda}(x)=\prod_{i, j \geq 1} \frac{\left(t x_{i} y_{j} ; q\right)_{\infty}}{\left(x_{i} y_{j} ; q\right)_{\infty}} .
$$

The rest of the arguments is almost the same as in the proof of Corollary 5.1

Remark 5.3. Prof. A. Lascoux said that Corollary 5.1] and Corollary 5.2 are obtained as corollaries of Theorem 1.1 by $\lambda$-ring arguments. We cite his comment here. What we need to do is to modify the argument of the symmetric functions. For Corollary 5.1 we pass the argument $X$ to $X(1-t)=X-t X$. For Corollary 5.2 we use $X(1-t) /(1-q)$. This defines two transformations on the complete symmetric functions, and therefore transformations on all the other functions. In particular, for the power sums, it transforms $p_{k} \rightarrow\left(1-t^{k}\right) p_{k}$ or $p_{k} \rightarrow\left(1-t^{k}\right) p_{k} /\left(1-q^{k}\right)$. Any identity on symmetric functions which is valid for an infinite alphabet $X$ remains valid for $X(1-t)$ and $X(1-t) /(1-q)$ and thus Theorem 1.1 implies Corollary 5.1 and Corollary 5.2 About the $\lambda$-rings, the reader can consult 14.

We also checked the Hall-Littlewood functions case, and could not find a formula for the general case, but found some nice formulas if we substitute -1 for $t$.

Conjecture 5.4. Let

$$
w(x ; t)=\sum_{\lambda} \omega(\lambda) P_{\lambda}(x ; t),
$$

where $P_{\lambda}(x ; t)$ denote the Hall-Littlewood function corresponding to the partition $\lambda$, and the sum runs over all partitions $\lambda$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\log w(x ;-1)+\sum_{n \geq 1 \text { odd }} \frac{1}{2 n} a^{n} c^{n} p_{2 n}+\sum_{n \geq 2 \text { even }} \frac{1}{2 n} a^{\frac{n}{2}} c^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(a^{\frac{n}{2}} c^{\frac{n}{2}}-2 b^{\frac{n}{2}} d^{\frac{n}{2}}\right) p_{2 n} \\
\\
\in \mathbb{Q}\left[\left[p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{5}, \ldots\right]\right]
\end{array}
$$

would hold.
We might replace the Hall-Littlewood functions $P_{\lambda}(x ; t)$ by the Macdonald polynomials $P_{\lambda}(x ; q, t)$ in this conjecture. Let $P_{\lambda}(x ; q, t)$ denote the Macdonald polynomial corresponding to the partition $\lambda$ (See [15), IV, sec.4).
Conjecture 5.5. Let

$$
w(x ; q, t)=\sum_{\lambda} \omega(\lambda) P_{\lambda}(x ; q, t) .
$$

Here the sum runs over all partitions $\lambda$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\log w(x ; q,-1)+\sum_{n \geq 1 \text { odd }} \frac{1}{2 n} a^{n} c^{n} p_{2 n}+\sum_{n \geq 2 \text { even }} \frac{1}{2 n} a^{\frac{n}{2}} c^{\frac{n}{2}}\left(a^{\frac{n}{2}} c^{\frac{n}{2}}-2 b^{\frac{n}{2}} d^{\frac{n}{2}}\right) p_{2 n} \\
\in \mathbb{Q}\left[\left[p_{1}, p_{3}, p_{5}, \ldots\right]\right]
\end{array}
$$

would hold.
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